

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/690,590	10/18/2000	MINORU KATAYAMA	107612 2593		
25944 7	590 03/10/2003				
OLIFF & BE	RRIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			CYGAN, MICHAEL T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2856			
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No	D .	Applicant(s)			
		09/690,590		KATAYAMA ET AI			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Michael Cygar		2856			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 F	ebruary 2003 .	•				
2a)⊠	<u></u>	is action is non	-final.				
3)							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requi	rem ent .	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 November 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1</u>	5) [Interview Summary Notice of Informal F Other:				
ILS Patent and T	rademark Office						

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
 - 1. Claims 8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 90/12277 (Bielle). Bielle discloses a surface texture measuring machine having a stage movable in the Y-axis direction (see table [9] with grooves for movement in Y-direction) and capable of seesawing in a Z-direction (see Figure 7 and pages 19-20) on a fulcrum [15]. The machine has a displacement detection means [5] which is movable in the X-axis direction for measuring z-axis displacement on a workpiece [6]; see abstract and Figures 1, 7, and 10. Bielle discloses adjusting the orientation of a workpiece by measuring three points on the piece, calculating the difference in the Z-position of the points from a desired position, displaying the correction amount on a screen, and manually operating an adjustment means (e.g., points of action 130,131) to correct the orientation. As shown in Figure 7, the device has a fulcrum

Art Unit: 2856

[15] rotatable at least in the X-Z axis, in addition to calculation, output, and adjustment means (pages 19-20). Note that the desired reference position (a fulcrum-point of action line) is shown to be horizontal (i.e., parallel to the base support) in Figure 10. See also abstract. Further note that Bielle teaches preprogrammed start and end points (Figures 14 and 15).

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuda (JP 08-029153) in view of WO 90/12277 (Bielle). Fukuda discloses a surface contour measurement device (Figure 1) for measuring a workpiece having an edge line (Figure 6) having a rotatable stage which is movable in the X- and Y-axis directions and has an inclination correction means (titubation device [42] rocking the object on titubation shaft (fulcrum)); see Figure 1 and English language translation pages 2-5, especially paragraphs 9 and 25. Fukuda discloses a measurement means [10A] being controlled by a measurement controller [50] which comprises measurement of a surface from a start point to an end point (which

Art Unit: 2856

inherently have max/min Z-axis endpoints in the inclination measurement) to calculate an initial orientation, and input of X-axis, Y-axis, and swivel angle values to an error correction means, and further discloses adjusting the rotation, inclination, and Y-axis movement of the piece to correct the piece to a desired alignment based upon a result from an error calculation means; see especially Figure 2 and page 4 of English language translation and paragraphs 23, 33, and 39 of the original document. Fukuda discloses the method of automatic measurement of a surface from a start point to an end point (which inherently have max/min Z-axis endpoints in the inclination measurement) to calculate an initial orientation, and input of X-axis, Y-axis, and swivel angle values to an error correction means, and further discloses adjusting the rotation, inclination, and Y-axis movement of the piece to correct the piece to a desired alignment based upon a result from an error calculation means; see especially page 4 of English language translation and paragraphs 23, 33, and 39 of the original document. It is noted that Fukuda has predetermined X-axis start and end coordinates (as in Figure 3) which are programmed into the automatic controller which operates the X-axis slider.

With respect to claims 4-7, Fukuda teaches the claimed invention except for the use of a fulcrum-based, manually operated leveling device having a micrometer knob and a display of the orientation correction amount. Bielle teaches the use of a fulcrum-based, manually operated leveling device having a

Art Unit: 2856

micrometer knob and a display of the inclination orientation correction amount in a surface roughness measurement device. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a fulcrum-based, manually operated leveling device having a micrometer knob as taught by Bielle in the invention of Fukuda to orient the piece relative to the displacement detecting means, since this would advantageously provides a structure shown to be capable of positioning of the preferred measurement surface of the piece relative to the detecting means.

With respect to claims 1-3, neither Bielle nor Fukuda disclose manual displacement in the Y-axis direction in accordance with a displayed swivel correction angle. Fukuda discloses only the automatic operation of Y-axis and swivel correction due to error values (page 4, paragraph 25 of English abstract), and states that this method is superior to the prior known "hand regulation by the operating personnel". Fukuda thus "teaches away" from manual operation, but discloses that such operation is known in the prior art. As stated in *In re Gurley*, "the nature of the teaching is highly relevant and must be weighed in substance. A known or obvious composition does not become patentable simply because it has been described as somewhat inferior to some other product for the same use", *In re Gurley*, 27 F.3d 551, 554, 31 USPQ2d 1130, 1132 (Fed Cir. 1994). See also MPEP 2145(X)(D)(1). In the present case, Fukuda discloses manual operation as known, but somewhat inferior for the same use as automatic operation. The use of manual operation of inclination angle in Bielle further

Art Unit: 2856

supports the usefulness and obviousness of manual operation of system parameters. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use manual operation of Y-axis correction, which is disclosed to be known in the prior art by Fukuda, in the invention of Fukuda in view of Bielle to correct the orientation of a workpiece, since this would advantageously allow correction of positioning of the preferred measurement surface of the piece relative to the detecting means in the Y-axis direction. The use in the invention of Fukuda of micrometer knobs as taught by Bielle for manual operation would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, since micrometer knobs are well known for use in manual position adjustment and perform that function in Bielle for the purpose desired by Fukuda.

Conclusion

- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE
 FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 4. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2856

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 12 February 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Fukuda does not show measurement start/end points of the claimed invention where arbitrary X-axis values are first set, and then associated Y-axis values are then determined. However, Fukuda clearly uses an X-axis probe, which moves from arbitrary start/end points in the X-axis direction to perform a profile measurement by measuring Y-axis values corresponding to each point on the X-axis. See page 3, paragraph 16 of the English abstract.
- 6. Applicant further argues that Fukuda does not teach determination of a correction angle followed by a correction length. However, Fukuda teaches calculation of swivel angle error values and adjusting the rotation of the piece to correct the piece to a desired alignment by moving the workpiece stage in an amount calculated to result in the desired alignment; see especially Figure 2 and page 4 of English language

Art Unit: 2856

translation and paragraphs 23, 33, and 39 of the original document. Note also that Fukuda teaches this as noted on paragraph 25 of the English language abstract, "it is based on the calculation result by error calculation section...the posture of the measuring [object] 17 is finally correctable to a criteria posture". See also paragraph 24. Furthermore, it is noted that Fukuda has predetermined X-axis start and end coordinates (as in Figure 3) which are programmed into the automatic controller which operates the X-axis slider.

7. Applicant argues that Bielle does not disclose an operation amount calculated from a center locus. The term "locus" is defined by Merriam-Webster's 10th Collegiate Dictionary as "the place where something is situated or occurs." Bielle performs an operation to render the sample surface parallel to a reference triangle. The operation consists of determining the differences in altitude between the measured surface and the reference surface at three points, and then altering the micrometer heads at those locations to the determined amount. See page 10, lines 6-23. This is an absolute quantity determination, and does not involve comparison of different measured values to each other. Note that the desired reference position is shown to be horizontal (i.e., parallel to the base support) in the depiction of the desired inclination angle of the

Art Unit: 2856

surface probe mechanism as detailed Figure 10. This desired reference triangle is the "center locus" claimed by applicant.

8. Applicant argues that Bielle does not show measurement start/end points of the claimed invention where arbitrary X-axis values are first set, and then associated Y-axis values are then determined, and that Fukuda does not teach determination of a correction angle followed by a correction length. However, Bielle teaches preprogrammed start and end points (Figures 14 and 15). Note also that Bielle discloses adjusting the orientation of a workpiece by measuring three points on the piece, calculating the difference in the Z-position of the points from a desired position, displaying the correction amount on a screen, and manually operating an adjustment means (e.g., points of action 130,131) to correct the orientation. Such display is an integral part of the teaching of manual operation of Bielle applied to the invention of Fukuda; an operator requires some sort of display to know what manual operation is required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cygan whose telephone number is 703-305-0846. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on 703-305-4705. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2856

Page 10

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

MTC

mtc

March 4, 2003

ROUS RAZVIS AV2856